UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BN. 180. Aldxanfria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/812,719                | 03/29/2004                        | Pavel Berkhin        | 50269-0690          | 3740             |  |
|                           | 7590 07/05/2001<br>LERMO TRUONG & | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| 2055 GATEWAY PLACE        |                                   |                      | VY, HUNG T          |                  |  |
| SUITE 550<br>SAN JOSE, CA | A 95110                           | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                           |                                   |                      | 2163                |                  |  |
|                           |                                   |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                           |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                           |                                   |                      | 07/05/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |
|-----------------|----------------|
| 10/812,719 .    | BERKHIN, PAVEL |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |
| Hung T. Vy      | 2163           |

| Auvisory Action  | 10/012,719   | •  | DERKHIN, FAVEL   |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   |  | Art Unit   |  |
|  | Hung T. Vy   |  | 2163   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet  | with the c   | orrespondence addi   | ress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   |  |  | -  |  |
| <ul> <li>1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>a)  The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this A</li> <li>b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul> | n the same day as filing a wing replies: (1) an amenotice of Appeal (with appece with 37 CFR 1.114. The of the final rejection.    | Notice of<br>idment, affeal fee) in one<br>ne reply.mu | Appeal. To avoid abai<br>idavit, or other eviden<br>compliance with 37 CF<br>ust be filed within one | ce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)<br>of the following                  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | ater than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)  | n the mailing  | g date of the final rejection  | on.  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  | on which the petition under<br>stension and the correspondi<br>shortened statutory period for<br>than three months after the<br>h. | ing amount or reply origited mailing date              | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic<br>te of the final rejection, e            | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as<br>even if timely filed, |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 4'<br>within the time period se  | 1.37(e)), to<br>et forth in 3                          | avoid dismissal of the 7 CFR 41.37(a).   | e appeal. Since  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> <li>They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search<br>w);<br>tter form for appeal by ma<br>corresponding number o   | h (see NO  | TE below); ducing or simplifying t   |  |
| <ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>  | 21. See attached Notice  | of Non-Co  | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).   |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | separate,  | timely filed amendme   | nt canceling the   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  | ⊠ will not be entered, o<br>vided below or appended  | r b) 🔲 wil<br>l.                                       | l be entered and an e  | xplanation of  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections ur<br>y and was not earlier pre   | nder appea<br>sented. Se                               | al and/or appellant fail<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1  | s to provide a<br>).   |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/><u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>  |  |  | condition for allowan  | ce because:  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s   | ) (  | Son & San  | R  |
|  |  | 31 line  | DON WONG   | 7  |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because the specification does not discloses a collection of pages (the specification discloses only the graph, hyperlinked pages can, an authority vector, set of nodes for initial color loading, viscosity parameters, propagation thresholds, and oterh parameter) and current page, next page outgoing link from the current page to a next page that belongs to the collection (specification discloses only BCV (Bookmark coloring process) (i.e., "p = (BCP (b, w, ) Bookmark-Coloring Process), and specification does not explain in Fig. 4, 5 and 6.